

# L-FUNCTIONS FOR $U(3)$

RUI CHEN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Following [GPS06] and [GR91] we describe two different zeta integral representations of the degree 6  $L$ -function attached to a cuspidal representation  $\pi$  of  $U(3)$ , the first is a Rankin-Selberg integral valid only for generic  $\pi$  and the second is a more complicated "Shimura-type" integral valid for arbitrary  $\pi$ .

## 2. L-FUNCTIONS FOR $U(3)$

**2.1. Eisenstein series on  $U(1, 1)$ .** Recall that  $V$  is the three dimensional vector space over  $E$  with skew-Hermitian form given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \xi & \\ -1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

denote by  $\ell_{-1}$ ,  $\ell_0$ ,  $\ell_1$  the corresponding basis for  $V$ , let  $W$  be the subspace spanned by  $\ell_{-1}$  and  $\ell_1$ , then  $W$  is a skew-Hermitian space with unitary group  $H = U(W)$  may be identified with the subgroup of  $G$  stabilizing  $\ell_0$ . Let  $B_H$  denote the Borel subgroup

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset H$$

with maximal torus  $\cong E^\times$ . Fixing any character  $\xi$  of the idele class group of  $E$  and any  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  we define a character  $\omega_\xi^s$  of  $B_H$  via

$$\omega_\xi^s \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \xi(\alpha) |\alpha|_E^s \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{A}_E^\times$$

we denote  $F_s^* : H(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a smooth function satisfying

$$F_s^*(bh) = \omega_\xi^{s+1}(b) F_s^*(h)$$

where  $b \in B_H(\mathbb{A})$  and  $h \in H(\mathbb{A})$ , we define the Eisenstein series by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in B_H \backslash H(F)} F_s^*(\gamma h) = E_\xi(h, F^*, s)$$

this is known to be convergent only in some right half plane  $\text{Re}(s) > s_0$ . The only possible pole of  $E_\xi$  in the right half plane is at  $s = 1$  and its residue is propotional to the character  $\xi(\det h)$ . In general  $E_\xi$  defines an automorphic forms on  $H(\mathbb{A})$ , we shall assume that  $F^*(g)$  is decomposable

$$F^*(g) = \prod F_v^*(g_v)$$

**2.2. The Rankin-Selberg integral.** Fix an arbitrary automorphic cuspidal representation  $\pi$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  acting on the space  $V_\pi$ , to each  $\varphi \in V_\pi$  and Eisenstein series data  $\xi$  and  $F^*$  as above, we may form the zeta-integral

$$L(\varphi, F^*, \xi, s) = \int_{H(k) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(h) E_\xi(h, F^*, s) dh$$

because  $E_\xi$  is an automorphic form on  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  and the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  is still rapidly decreasing, it follows that the integral converges and defines a meromorphic function in all of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover  $L(\varphi, F^*, \xi, s)$  has a functional equation. The only possible pole of  $L(\varphi, F^*, \xi, s)$  in  $\text{Re}(s) > 0$  is at  $s = 1$  and it is proportional to the period integral

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} \varphi(h) \xi(h) dh$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $S$  denote the finite set of places of  $F$  outside of which  $v$  is finite and the data  $\varphi_v$ ,  $F_v^*$ ,  $\psi_v$  and  $\xi_v$  are unramified. Then for  $\text{Re}(s)$  sufficiently large, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} L(\varphi, F^*, \xi, s) &= \int_{U_H(\mathbb{A}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})} W_\varphi^\psi(h) F^*(h) dh \\ &= \left( \prod_{v \in S} L(W_v, F_v^*, s) \right) L_S(s, \pi \times \xi) \end{aligned}$$

here  $W_\varphi^\psi$  denotes the  $\psi$ -Whittaker function of  $\varphi$  but restricted to  $H \subset G$  and  $L(W_v, F_v^*, s)$  is the local zeta integral

$$\int_{U_v \backslash H_v} W_{\pi_v}(h) F_v(h) dh$$

and  $L_S(s, \pi \times \xi)$  is the Langlands  $L$ -function, as a product outside the places  $v$  outside  $S$ .  $U_H$  the unipotent subgroup of  $H$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Because  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  may be regarded as an algebraic cycle in  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$  we may interpret (2.1) as a period integral and conclude the existence of a pole for  $L(s, \pi \times \xi)$  is related to the non-vanishing of this period.

**2.3. Unramified computation.** In this section, we will assume that everything is unramified. Thus we suppose that  $F$  is a local non-archimedean field of odd characteristic, and  $E$  is an unramified quadratic extension of  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_F$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_E$ ) denote the ring of integers of  $F$  (resp.  $E$ ),  $\varpi$  a generator of the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and  $\psi$  a character of  $F$  of conductor 1.

Let  $K$  be the standard maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , because  $E$  is unramified, we have

$$G = NAK$$

where  $A = \text{diag}(t, 1, t^{-1})$   $t \in F^\times$  is the maximal  $F$ -split torus of  $G$ .

Suppose  $\pi$  is an unramified representation of  $G$  with respect to  $K$ , then  $\pi$  is of the form  $\pi = \text{Ind}_B^G \nu$ , where  $\nu$  is an unramified character of  $E^\times$ . The function  $W$  is uniquely characterized by the following properties

- $W(nak) = \psi_N(a)W(a)$  for all  $n \in N$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $k \in K$ .
- $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \delta & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \delta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0$  if  $|\delta|_F > 1$ .
- for all  $n \geq 0$

$$W\left(\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varpi^{-n} \end{pmatrix}\right) = |\varpi|^{2n} \frac{\nu(\varpi)^{n+1} - \nu(\varpi)^{-(n+1)}}{\nu(\varpi) - \nu(\varpi)^{-1}}$$

We now compute  $L^\mu(W, F_\Phi, s)$  with  $\mu$  an unramified character of  $E^\times$  and  $\Phi$  the characteristic function of the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module in  $E\ell_{-1} \oplus E\ell_1$  generated by  $\ell_{-1}$  and  $\ell_1$ .

Let  $K_H = K \cap H$ , since  $Z = N \cap H$ , we have  $H = ZAK_H$  with corresponding integration formula

$$\int_{Z \backslash H} f'(h) dh = \int_{K_H} \int_{F^\times} f'\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k\right) |a|^{-2} d^\times a dk$$

here  $f'$  is a function of  $Z \backslash H$  and Haar measure  $d^\times a$  on  $F^\times$  is normalized so that  $m(\mathcal{O}_F^\times) = 1$ . Note that

$$F_\Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k\right) = \mu(a)|a|_E^s F_\Phi(1)$$

we have

$$L^\mu(W, F_\Phi, s) = F_\Phi(1) \int_{F^\times} W\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) \mu(a)|a|_F^{2s-2} d^\times a$$

we have  $F_\Phi(1) = L_E(s, \mu)$ .

It remains to calculate the integral with the unramified Whittaker function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F^\times} W\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) \mu(a)|a|^{2s-2} d^\times a \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu(\varpi^n) |\varpi^n|^{2s} \sum_{i+j} \nu(\varpi)^i \nu(\varpi^{-1})^j \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \mu(\varpi) \nu(\varpi) |\varpi|^{2s}} \frac{1}{1 - \mu(\varpi) \nu^{-1}(\varpi) |\varpi|^{2s}} \\ &= L_F(2s, \mu\nu) L_F(2s, \mu\nu^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

all together we have  $L(s, \pi, \mu) = Q_0(q^{-s})^{-1}$  with  $Q_0$  a polynomial of degree 6 in  $q^{-s}$ .

### 3. ON PERIODS OF CUSP FORMS AND ALGEBRAIC CYCLES FOR $U(3)$

The following is a summary of the results of the paper [GRS93].

**3.1. The relation between  $P(\pi, c, \chi)$  and theta-lifting.** We fix  $U(1, 1)$  to act on the Hermitian space  $W = E\omega_1 \oplus E\omega_2$  with corresponding Hermitian form

$$\Phi' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi^{-1} \\ -\xi^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $U(W) \cong U(1, 1) \cong H_1$ , its derived subgroup is  $SL_2(F)$ ,  $U(V) \times U(W)$  embeds into the symplectic group and embeds into the metaplectic group of  $V \otimes W$  for each choice of splitting data  $(\psi, \gamma, \chi_1, \chi_2)$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal representation of  $G$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- $P(\pi, c, \chi) \neq 0$  for some  $c$  and  $\chi$ .
- $\pi$  has a non-zero theta-lift to  $H_1$ .
- $\pi$  is a theta-lift to some cuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of  $H_1$ .

Furthermore, suppose  $\sigma$  is the theta-lift of  $\pi$  to  $H_1$  relative the specific lifting data  $(\psi, \gamma, \chi_1, \chi_2)$  then  $P(\pi, c, \gamma^1 \chi_2) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $\sigma$  has a non-zero Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi_c)$  relative to the additive character  $\psi_c$ .

The proof is based on the computation of the Fourier coefficient of the theta-lift  $\varphi \in \pi$  to  $H_1$

$$f_{\psi_c}(e) = \int_{G_c(\mathbb{A}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \gamma^1 \chi_2(\det g) \Phi(v_c g \otimes \omega_2) P(\varphi^g, c, \gamma^1 \chi_2) dg$$

where  $\varphi^g(x) = \varphi(xg)$ . Now since  $\Phi$  is an arbitray Schwartz function, we can conclude that  $f_{\psi_c}(e) = 0$  if and only if  $P(\varphi^g, c, \gamma^1 \chi_1) = 0$  for all  $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ . In particular we conclude  $P(\pi, c, \chi) \neq 0$  for some  $c$  and  $\chi$  if and only if  $\pi$  has a non-zero theta-lift to  $H_1$ .

**3.2. Periods of stable  $\pi$ .** We say that a cuspidal  $\pi$  is *stable* if and only if any theta-series lift of  $\pi$  to any unitary group in two variables is zero. In particular any theta lift of  $\pi$  to  $H_1 \cong U(1, 1)$  is zero and hence for stable  $\pi$

$$P(\pi, c, \chi)$$

for all  $c$  and  $\chi$ . This fact is philosophically consistent with Tate's conjecture relating the poles for  $L(s, \pi \times \xi)$  to the existence of non-trivial periods of  $\pi$  since  $L(s, \pi \times \xi)$  is always entire for stable cuspidal  $\pi$ .

Therefore we may restrict our discussion to the periods to the case of *endoscopic*  $\pi$ .

**3.3. Periods of exceptional  $\pi$ .** Suppose  $\pi$  is cuspidal but in an  $A$ -packet  $\Pi(\rho)$ , if the theta lift of  $\pi$  to  $U(1, 1)$  were non-trivial, it would automatically be cuspidal and  $\pi$  itself would then be a theta-lift of this cuspidal  $\sigma$  on  $U(1, 1)$ , but then 3.1 will imply that  $\pi \in \Pi(\rho)$  with  $\rho$  cuspidal, this is a contradiction to our assumption that  $\pi$  is exceptional. Thus we conclude that the periods of such exceptional  $\pi$  must be all vanish.

**3.4. The case of generic cuspidal endoscopic  $\pi$ .** Suppose  $\pi$  is a generic element of a cuspidal endoscopic packet  $\Pi(\rho)$ , in this case the fact  $L(s, \pi \times \xi)$  has a pole at  $s = 1$  for some  $\xi = \xi_0$  is equivalent to the fact that an appropriate theta-series lift of  $\pi$  to  $U(1, 1)$  is non-zero, and the residue  $L(s, \pi \times \xi_0)$  can be expressed directly in terms of the period  $P(\pi_0, 1, \xi_0)$ . Using 3.1, we can conclude that for generic cuspidal  $\pi$ , the following are equivalent

- (1)  $L(s, \pi \times \xi)$  has a pole at  $s = 1$  for some fixed  $\xi = \xi_0$ .
- (2')  $P(\pi, 1, \xi_0) \neq 0$ .
- (3') an appropriate theta-series lift of  $\pi$  to  $U(1, 1)$  is non-zero.

Now what is the situation for arbitrary  $\pi$ ? By the theory of [GR91], the conditions (1) and (3') are still equivalent, provided the phrase "to  $U(1, 1)$ " is replaced by the phrase "to some  $U(\Phi')$ ".

**3.5. Compact periods of hypercuspidal  $\pi$ .** Let's call a cuspidal representation  $\sigma$  of  $U(Y)$  is theta-stable if any theta-lift of  $\sigma$  to  $U(1) = U(Ev_c)$  is zero.

**Proposition 3.2.** *There exist hypercuspidal endoscopic cuspidal  $\pi$  on  $U(3)$  with the property that*

$$P(\pi, c, \chi) = 0$$

*for all  $c$  and  $\chi$ . Indeed if  $\sigma$  is a theta-stable cuspidal representation of an anisotropic  $U(Y)$  and the lifting is chosen so that the lift of  $\sigma$  to  $U(3)$  is zero, then any irreducible component of  $\pi = \Theta_{\psi, \gamma}^{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\sigma)$  is a cuspidal representation on  $U(3)$ .*

**Proposition 3.3.** *There exists a hypercuspidal endoscopic  $\pi$  such that*

$$P(\pi, c, \chi) \neq 0$$

*for some  $c$  and  $\chi$ . Namely: take a cuspidal  $\sigma$  on  $U(W) \cong U(1, 1)$ , a character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}/F$  such that  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi) = \{0\}$ , and the lifting data  $(\psi, \gamma, \chi_1, \chi_2)$  such that  $\Theta_{\psi, \gamma}^{\chi_1, \chi_2}(\sigma) \neq \{0\}$  on  $U(3)$ , then each irreducible component of  $\Theta_{\psi, \gamma}(\sigma)$  is an irreducible hypercuspidal endoscopic  $\pi$  of the above type.*

First we want to show  $\pi = \Theta_{\psi, \gamma}(\sigma)$  is hypercuspidal, hence  $\Theta(\sigma)$  generates an irreducible hypercuspidal endoscopic representation  $\pi$  of  $U(3)$ , moreover  $\pi$  itself is a theta-lift from  $\sigma$  cuspidal on  $U(1, 1)$ , it must have a non-zero lift back to  $U(1, 1)$ , hence by 3.1, some  $P(\pi, c, \chi)$  is non-zero.

## REFERENCES

- [GPS06] Stephen Gelbart and Ilya Piatetski-Shapiro. Automorphic forms and L-functions for the unitary group. In *Lie Group Representations II: Proceedings of the Special Year held at the University of Maryland, College Park 1982–1983*, pages 141–184. Springer, 2006.
- [GR91] Stephen S Gelbart and Jonathan D Rogawski. L-functions and Fourier-Jacobi coefficients for the unitary group  $U(3)$ . *Inventiones mathematicae*, 105:445–472, 1991.
- [GRS93] Stephen Gelbart, J Rogawski, and D Soudry. On periods of cusp forms and algebraic cycles for  $U(3)$ . *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 83:213–252, 1993.