

# EXPLICIT PLANCHEREL FORMULA FOR THE SPACE OF SYMPLECTIC FORMS

RUI CHEN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This is a study note for Lapid and Offen's proof [LO22] on the explicit Plancherel formula for the space  $Y_n$  of symplectic bilinear forms on a  $2n$ -dimensional vector space over a local field  $F$  in terms of the Plancherel formula of  $G' = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .

For any  $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}} G'$  and  $\sigma = \mathfrak{S}(\pi) \in \mathrm{Irr} G$ , we will define a realization  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  with an explicit invariant inner product and a non-trivial  $H$ -invariant linear form  $\ell_H$ .

For any  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  let

$$(f_1, f_2)_\sigma = \sum_v \ell_H(\sigma(f_1)v) \overline{\ell_H(\sigma(f_2)v)}$$

where  $v$  ranges over a suitable orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma)$ . Since  $\ell_H$  is  $H$ -invariant, the positive semi-definite hermitian form  $(f_1, f_2)_\sigma$  factors through the canonical map  $\mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H \backslash G)$ .

Our main result is

**Theorem 1.1.** *For a suitable choice of Haar measures and for any  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(H \backslash G)$  we have*

$$(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{L^2(H \backslash G)} = \int_{\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(G')} (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{\mathfrak{S}(\pi)} d\mu_{\mathrm{pl}}(\pi)$$

where the right handside is an absolutely convergent integral.

**Corollary 1.2.** *We have the following decomposition of unitary representations of  $G$ :*

$$L^2(H \backslash G) = \int_{\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{temp}}(G')} \mathfrak{S}(\pi) d\mu_{\mathrm{pl}}(\pi)$$

The proof of theorem 1.1 are modeled in the recent remarkable paper of Beuzart-Plessis. The main new input is an identity described in theorem 2.7 below. It is based on two ingredients, the first is a relation between the inner product on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma)$  and the standard invariant pairing between  $I_P(\pi \otimes \pi, \varpi)$  and  $I_P(\tilde{\pi} \otimes \tilde{\pi}, \varpi^{-1})$ . The second is a relation between the  $\ell_H$  and an  $H$ -invariant functional on  $I_P(\pi \otimes \pi, \varpi)$  which is a local analog of the global symplectic period studied by Jacquet and Rallis. Both relations involve the standard intertwining operator from  $I_P(\pi \otimes \pi, \varpi)$  to  $I_P(\pi \otimes \pi, \varpi^{-1})$ .

## 2. AN IDENTITY BETWEEN BESSEL DISTRIBUTIONS

Let  $V$  and  $V^\vee$  be two admissible smooth representations of an  $\ell$ -group  $X$  and let

$$B : V \times V^\vee \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be an  $X$ -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form, thus  $B$  defines an isomorphism between  $V^\vee$  and the smooth dual of  $V$ . We refer to  $(V, V^\vee, B)$  with the group action as  $X$ -representations in duality.

A morphism

$$(\Phi, \Phi^\vee) : (V_1, V_1^\vee, B_1) \longrightarrow (V_2, V_2^\vee, B_2)$$

of  $X$ -representations in duality is a pair of intertwining operators  $\Phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ ,  $\Phi^\vee : V_2^\vee \rightarrow V_1^\vee$  such that

$$B_2(\Phi v_1, v_2^\vee) = B_1(v_1, \Phi^\vee v_2^\vee)$$

for all  $v_1 \in V_1$ ,  $v_2 \in V_2^\vee$ .

We will consider the following examples associated to an irreducible tempered representation  $\pi$  of  $G' = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi)$  be the Whittaker model of  $\pi$  with respect to  $\psi_{N'}$  with right translation. Define a bilinear form

$$B_{Q'}(W, W^\vee) = \int_{N' \backslash Q'} W(g) W^\vee(g) dg$$

on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi) \times \mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N'}^{-1}}(\tilde{\pi})$ , this integral is absolutely convergent by a well-known result of Bernstein. Thus the tuple

$$\mathcal{D}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi) = (\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi), \mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N'}^{-1}}(\tilde{\pi}), B_{Q'})$$

is a  $G'$  representation in duality.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{D} = (V, V^\vee, B)$  be  $M$ -representations in duality, denote the representation on  $V$  by  $\tau$ , for any character  $\chi$  of  $M$  consider the induced representation  $I_P(V, \chi)$  realized in the space of functions  $\varphi : G \rightarrow V$ . Then we have a  $G$ -duality data

$$I_P(\mathcal{D}, \chi) = (I_P(V, \chi), I_P(V^\vee, \chi^{-1}), B_{P \backslash G})$$

where

$$B_{P \backslash G}(\varphi, \varphi^\vee) = \int_{P \backslash G} B(\varphi(g), \varphi^\vee(g)) dg$$

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\pi) \in \text{Irr } G$ , note that  $\tilde{\sigma} = \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{\pi})$ , consider the Zelevinsky model  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$ , denote  $D$  the joint stabilizer of  $e_n$  and  $e_{2n}$ , then the bilinear form

$$B_D(W, W^\vee) = \int_{D \cap N \backslash D} W(g) W^\vee(g) dg$$

on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma) \times \mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N^{-1}}(\tilde{\sigma})$  is  $G$ -invariant. We write

$$\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N}(\sigma) = (\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma), \mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N^{-1}}(\tilde{\sigma}), B_D)$$

for the  $G$ -representations in duality.

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_\pi : I_P(\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{NM}}(\pi \otimes \pi), \varpi) \rightarrow I_P(\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{NM}}(\pi \otimes \pi), \varpi^{-1})$$

be the intertwining operator given by the absolutely convergent integral

$$[\mathcal{M}_\pi \varphi(g)](x) = \int_U \varphi(\omega_U^{-1} u g) (\omega_U^{-1} x \omega_U) du, \quad g \in G, \quad x \in M$$

let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pi$  be the composition of  $\mathcal{M}_\pi$  with injective map  $I_P(\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{NM}}(\pi \otimes \pi), \varpi^{-1}) \rightarrow C^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi_N)$  given by  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(g)(e)$ , the image of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pi$  is  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{D} = (V, V^\vee, B)$  is an admissible  $X$ -representation in duality, denote by  $\pi$  the corresponding representation of  $X$  on  $V$ , using  $B$  we may identify  $V \otimes V^\vee$  with the space  $\text{End}_{sm}(V)$  of smooth linear endomorphisms of  $V$ , i.e. the linear maps  $A : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $A\pi(g) = \pi(g)A = A$  for all  $g$  in sufficiently small open subgroup of  $X$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ , we may view  $\pi(f)$  as an element of  $V \otimes V^\vee$ . For any  $\ell \in V^*$  and  $\ell^\vee \in (V^\vee)^*$ , we define

$$\mathcal{B}_D^{\ell, \ell^\vee}(f) = (\ell \otimes \ell^\vee)[\pi(f)]$$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a basis for  $V$  and let  $K$  be an open subgroup of  $X$ , we say that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is compatible with  $K$  if for every  $v \in \mathfrak{B}$ , either  $v$  is  $K$ -invariant or  $\int_K \pi(k)v dk = 0$ . We say that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is *admissible* if it is compatible with a family of open subgroups of  $X$  that form a neighborhood base for the identity.

**Lemma 2.6.** *We have*

- Suppose  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an admissible basis for  $V$  and let  $\mathfrak{B}^\vee$  be the dual basis for  $V^\vee$ , then

$$\mathcal{B}_D^{\ell, \ell^\vee}(f) = \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B}} \ell(\pi(f)v) \ell^\vee(\tilde{v})$$

where only finitely many terms in the sum are non-zero.

- For any  $g_1, g_2 \in X$ , we have

$$\mathcal{B}_D^{\ell, \ell^\vee}(L(g_1)R(g_2)f) = \mathcal{B}_D^{\ell \circ \pi(g_1), \ell^\vee \circ \pi^\vee(g_2)}(f)$$

We now state the main result of this section. Let  $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')$  and  $\ell_H$  be the linear form on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_N}(\mathfrak{S}(\pi))$  given by

$$\ell_H(W) = \int_{N_H \backslash Q_H} W(h) dh$$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')$  and  $\sigma = \mathfrak{S}(\pi)$ , then we have*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N}(\sigma)}^{\ell_H, \delta_e}(f) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi)}^{\delta_e, \delta_e}(Tf), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$$

where  $\delta_e$  is the evaluation at the identity and  $T(f) \in \mathcal{S}(G')$  is given by

$$Tf(g) = |\det g|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \int_{U_H \backslash U} \varphi(ul_1(g)) du, \quad g \in G'$$

where  $\varphi = \int_H f(h \cdot) dh$ .

A concrete  $M_H$ -invariant linear form on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N_M}}(\pi \otimes \pi)$  is given by

$$\ell_{M_H}(W) = \int_{N' \backslash G'} W(\iota(p)) dp$$

hence the linear form

$$\ell_H^{\text{ind}}(\varphi) = \int_{P_H \backslash H} \ell_{M_H}(\varphi(h)) dh$$

$\varphi \in I_P(\mathfrak{M}_{\psi_{N_M}}(\pi \otimes \pi), \varpi)$  is well-defined and  $H$ -invariant.

**Proposition 2.8.** *We have*

$$\ell_H^{\text{ind}} = \ell_H \circ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pi$$

Finally

**Lemma 2.9.** *For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(M)$  we have*

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_{N_M}}(\pi \otimes \pi)}^{\ell_{M_H}, \delta_e}(f) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi)}^{\delta_e, \delta_e}(\mathfrak{C}(f))$$

where  $\mathfrak{C}(f) \in \mathcal{S}(G')$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{C}(f)(g) = \int_{M_H} f(m\iota_1(g)) dm, \quad g \in G'$$

### 3. COMPLETION OF PROOF

The first step is take  $\varphi_i = \int_H f_i(h \cdot) dh$   $i = 1, 2$ , the relation we wants to prove becomes

$$(3.1) \quad \int_H f(h) dh = \int_{\text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N}(\mathfrak{S}(\pi))}^{\ell_H, \ell_H}(f^\vee) d\mu_{pl}(\pi)$$

where  $f = \overline{f_2} * f_1^\vee$ .

We define

$$W_f(g_1, g_2) = \int_N f(g_1^{-1}ug_2)\psi_N(u)^{-1} du = \int_{N_M} \int_U f(g_1^{-1}vug_2) du \psi_{N_M}(v)^{-1} \quad g_1, g_2 \in G$$

We have the following unfolding lemma

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$  we have*

$$\int_H f(h) dh = \int_{N_H \backslash Q_H} \int_{N_H \backslash H} W_f(h, q) dh dq$$

where the right-hand side converges as an iterated integral.

Next we recall the Whittaker spectral expansion for  $G'$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(G')$*

$$W_f^{G'}(e, e) = \int_{\text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_{N'}}(\pi)}^{\delta_e, \delta_e}(f^\vee) d\mu_{pl}(\pi) = \int_{\text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_{N'}^{-1}(\pi)}}^{\delta_e, \delta_e}(f) d\mu_{pl}(\pi)$$

Now let's turn to the proof of our main theorem 1.1: combining lemma 3.1 and proposition 3.2, we can get

$$\int_H f(h) dh = \int_{N_H \backslash Q_H} \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi'_N(\pi)}^{\delta_e, \delta_e}}(T(R(q)f)) d\mu_{pl}(\pi) dq$$

we can show that this double integral converges. Interchanging the order of integration, we get

$$\int_H f(h) dh = \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \int_{N_H \backslash Q_H} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N^{-1}(\pi)}^{\delta_e, \delta_e}}(T(R(q))f) dq d\mu_{pl}(\pi)$$

By theorem 2.7 and lemma 2.6 we see this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \int_{N_H \backslash Q_H} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N^{-1}(\pi)}^{\ell_H, \delta_e}}(T(R(q)f)) d\mu_{pl}(\pi) dq \\ &= \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \int_{N_H \backslash Q_H} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N^{-1}(\pi)}^{\ell_H, \delta_q}}(f) d\mu_{pl}(\pi) dq \\ &= \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N^{-1}(\pi)}^{\ell_H, \ell_H}}(f) d\mu_{pl}(\pi) \\ &= \int_{\pi \in \text{Irr}_{\text{temp}}(G')} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}_{\psi_N}^{\ell_H, \ell_H}}(\pi)(f^\vee) d\mu_{pl}(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

this is equivalent to theorem 1.1.

## REFERENCES

- [LO22] Erez Lapid and Omer Offen. Explicit plancherel formula for the space of symplectic forms. *International mathematics research notices*, 2022(18):14255–14294, 2022.