

AN AFL FOR THE WHOLE HECKE ALGEBRA

RUI CHEN

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	FL and AFL	1
3.	AFL for the whole Hecke algebra	2

1. INTRODUCTION

A note taken by me on 03/13/2023 for Rapoport's talk "An AFL for the whole Hecke algebra" at MSRI.

2. FL AND AFL

For $p \neq 2$, F/F_0 unramify quadratic extension, $n \geq 1$.

Fundamental lemma of Jacquet-Rallis: for W_0 a hermitian F/F_0 -space, $\dim = n+1$. $W_0^b = \langle u \rangle^\perp$, $\|u_0\| = 1$. $G_{W_0} = U(W_0^b) \times U(W_0)$ algebraic action by $U(W_0^b) \times U(W_0^b)$. $G' = GL_n(F) \times GL_{n+1}(F)$.

Fundamental lemma: For matching elements (g, γ) , we have

$$\mathcal{O}_g(1_{K^b \times K}) = \omega(\gamma) \mathcal{O}_\gamma(1_{K'_n \times K'_{n+1}})$$

- $\omega(\gamma)$: transfer factor.
- \mathcal{O}_γ : weighted orbital integral.

Long history: Jacquet-Rallis, Wei Zhang, Zhiwei Yun, Beuzart-Plessis.

There is a recent extension by Spencer-Leslie:

$$\mathcal{O}_g(\varphi) = \omega(\gamma) \mathcal{O}_\gamma(\varphi')$$

where $\varphi = \text{image of } \varphi' \in BC : \mathcal{H}_{K'_n \times K'_{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{K^b \times K}$.

The proof of the fundamental lemma reduces the equality to the unit element, the generalization of Spencer goes other way around.

Replace split W_0 by non-split W_1 , then $W_1^b = \langle u_1 \rangle^\perp$, $\|u_1\| = 1$. $G_{W_1} = U(W_1^b) \times U(W_1)$.

Arithmetic fundamental lemma: For matching elements (g, γ)

$$2 \cdot \langle g\Delta, \Delta \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}} \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \cdot \partial \mathcal{O}_\gamma(1_{K'_n \times 1'_{K'_{n+1}}})$$

here $\mathcal{N}_n = \text{formal moduli space of } (X, \iota, \lambda, \rho)$ where

- $X = \text{formal } \mathcal{O}_{F_0}\text{-module.}$
- $\iota : \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$ such that $\text{Lie}(X)$ is of signature $(1, n-1)$.
- $\lambda = \text{principal polarization, compatible with } \iota$.
- $\rho = \text{framing with } (\mathbb{X}, \iota_{\mathbb{X}}, \lambda_{\mathbb{X}})$.

this moduli space is represented by a formal scheme \mathcal{N}_n formally smooth of relative dimension $n-1$ over $\text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}})$.

Δ is the graph of

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \mathcal{N}_n &\longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{n+1} \\ X &\longmapsto X \times \overline{\mathcal{E}} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle , \rangle = \text{intersection product} = \chi(\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}, \mathcal{O}_{g\Delta} \otimes^L \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$.

Long history: Wei Zhang, Mihatsch-Zhang, Zhiyu Zhang.

3. AFL FOR THE WHOLE HECKE ALGEBRA

The goal of today's talk: to present conjectural full AFL, which can be viewed an arithmetic version of Leslie's full fundamental lemma.

Conjecture:

$$2\langle \Delta, T(\varphi)\Delta \rangle_{\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}} \log q = -\omega(\gamma) \cdot \partial \mathcal{O}_\gamma(\varphi')$$

Theorem 3.1. *full AFL holds for $n = 1$.*

We have a map $\mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{N}_n, \mathcal{N}_n) : X \mapsto \tau_1$, $\mathbb{Z}[X] \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{H}(G_{W_0})$. The heart of the proof is that all the relevant maps between the local R-Z spaces are finite and flat.

Same procedure works in global case, e.g. for the modular curve.

for general n : Set $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$,

$$\mathcal{N}_n^{[t]} = \{(X, \iota, \lambda, \rho) \mid \text{Ker}(\lambda) \subset X(\varpi), |\text{Ker } \lambda| = q^{2t}\}$$

For $t' \leq t$,

$$\mathcal{N}^{[t,t']} = \{(X, X') \in \mathcal{N}^{[t]} \times \mathcal{N}^{[t']} \mid \text{lifting } \alpha : X^{[t]} \rightarrow X^{[t']}, \text{lift } \mathbb{X}^{[t]} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{[t']}, \alpha^*(\lambda') = \alpha\}$$

For $t' \geq t$, set $\mathcal{N}^{[t,t']} = {}^t\mathcal{N}^{[t',t]}$.

Proposition 3.2. *(Gatz, He, Rapo) Let $n \geq 2$, $t \neq t'$, consider the forgetful morphism*

$$\pi : \mathcal{N}^{[t,t']} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{[t']}$$

then π is finite flat if and only if $t = 1, t' = 0$.

This is a serious problem, as in general

$$(\tau_t)_* \neq (\tau_t^+)_* \circ (\tau_t^-)_* : K(\mathcal{N}_n) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{N}_n)$$

We can define \mathbb{T}_t as $(\tau_t^+)_* \circ (\tau_t^-)_*$. The problem is: does \mathbb{T}_t commute with each other for varying t ?

If this holds, then as in the dim 1 case, we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{K_n} &= \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \longrightarrow \text{End}(K(\mathcal{N}_n)) \\ X_t &\longmapsto \mathbb{T}_t \end{aligned}$$

For full AFL, have to replace \mathcal{N}_n by $\mathcal{N}_{n,n+1}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{K^b \times K} = \mathcal{H}_{K^b} \otimes \mathcal{H}_K = \mathbb{C}[x_i] \otimes \mathbb{C}[y_i]$$